

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,090	RODRIGUEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EMEM EKONG	2681	

All Participants:

(1) EMEM EKONG

(2) James E. Boice

Date of Interview: 25 July 2005

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18

Prior art documents discussed:

Hidem et al. (U.S. Patent # 5,749,052)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
Continuation of Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and James E. Boise discussed the prior art and the claim language in claim 18. It was agreed to cancel dependent claim 19 and add their limitations to independent claim 18, in order to place the present application in condition for allowance. These changes were authorized by James E. Boise and officially made by the Examiner via an Examiner's amendment. .